Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024

2023

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

•	•		= '				
Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	×	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.5912	Х	162	Х	8.34	=	801
February	0.6064	Х	121	Х	8.34	=	614
March	0.7232	Х	134	Х	8.34	=	805
April	0.9373	Х	83	Х	8.34	=	646
May	0.7113	Х	127	Х	8.34	=	754
June	0.5899	Х	134	Х	8.34	=	660
July	0.5753	Х	158	Х	8.34	=	760
August	0.5705	Х	134	Х	8.34	=	636
September	0.5607	Х	170	х	8.34	=	793
October	0.6134	х	181	х	8.34	=	928
November	0.5465	х	166	Х	8.34	=	758
December	0.5170	Х	177	х	8.34	=	762

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	X	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	1.024	X	90	=	0.9216
		х	100	=	1.024
Design BOD, lbs/day	1275	Х	90	=	1147.5
		X	100	=	1275

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
		flow was greater		BOD was greater	BOD was greater
	Influent	_	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	1	0	0	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances	5	1	0	0	0
Points	Points 2		0	0	0
Total Numb	er of Po	oints			2

diligently to try and achieve this limit.

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 2023 6/12/2024 Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes 2023-06-28 O No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes o No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? Yes O No If Yes, please explain: High levels of BOD, TSS, Phosphorus From the Stanley Correctional Facility. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** Septic Tanks Yes Yes Yes o No O No O No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks gallons o Yes No **Holding Tanks** gallons o Yes No **Grease Traps** o Yes gallons 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. We had requests, but were unable to accept any loads due to our phosphorus limit.... 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? Yes O No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response. we are having trouble consistently being able to hit our .075 phosphorus limit and are working

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/12/2024

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?
o Yes

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	2
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	98
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/12/2024

2023

0

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or CBOD

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit
	Limit (mg/L)	> 10 (mg/L)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	with a Limit		Exceedance
January	20	18	4	1	0	0
February	20	18	5	1	0	0
March	20	18	4	1	0	0
April	20	18	3	1	0	0
May	10	10	2	1	0	0
June	10	10	1	1	0	0
July	10	10	1	1	0	0
August	10	10	1	1	0	0
September	10	10	0	1	0	0
October	10	10	0	1	0	0
November	20	18	1	1	0	0
December	20	18	3	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10		
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12		
Points per e	ach exceedance	ce with 12 mor	nths of discharge		7	3
Exceedance	S	0	0			
Points					0	0
Total numl	per of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2. F	low	Meter	Cali	bration
4 t	10 **	11000	Cull	DIGUOI

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2023-06-28

O No

If No, please explain:

~	mgree .	itment	- DI-	l m
< −	Iroz	rmani	· Pran	ıamc

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

N/A

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

No

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024 **2023**

	6/12/2024	2023
If Yes, please explain:		
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute toxicity (WET) test?	or chronic whole efflu	uent
o Yes		
• No		
If Yes, please explain:		
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to ic	dentify and/or reduce	
source(s) of toxicity?		
o Yes		
o No		
• N/A		
Please explain unless not applicable:		i

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023 6/12/2024

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	90% of Permit Limit	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	20	18	1	1	0	0	
February	20	18	1	1	0	0	
March	20	18	1	1	0	0	
April	20	18	0	1	0	0	
May	10	10	1	1	0	0	
June	10	10	0	1	0	0	
July	10	10	0	1	0	0	
August	10	10	0	1	0	0	
September	10	10	0	1	0	0	
October	10	10	0	1	0	0	
November	20	18	0	1	0	0	
December	20	18	0	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10			
Months of D	ischarge/yr			12			
		ance with 12	months of disch	arge:	7	3	
Exceedances 0							
Points							
Total Num	ber of Points					0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/12/2024

2023

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

O ICUAL	NA Lile I	AAZ Lali:	T-EE1	Manth	□££los+	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent		Weekly	Weekly	Permit
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly Average	Average	Average	Limit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit Exceed	Average			for Week	Exceed
1	Limit	Limit	NH3			2	3	4	ance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1		,	7	ance
January	4.5	11	2.585	0	1.7	.933	2.133	4.667	0
February	4.5	11	4.842	1	5.833	2.767	3.967	6.8	0
March	4.5	11	2.921	0	3.233	2.367	2.7	3.367	0
April	4.5	11	.617	0	1.6	.133	.6	.133	0
May	2.4	5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
June	2.4	5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	2.4	5.5	.058	0	0	0	.233	0	0
August	2.4	5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
September	2.4	5.5	.012	0	0	0	0	.047	0
October	7.3	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
November	7.3	18	.364	0	0	0	0	.133	0
December	7.3	18	2.308	0	0	4.067	5	.167	0
Points per e	ach excee	dance of N	Monthly av	/erage:					10
Exceedance	Exceedances, Monthly:								
Points:									10
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):								2.5	
Exceedance	Exceedances, Weekly:								0
Points:									0
Total Num	ber of Po	ints						10,000	10

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. 1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

This violation occurred due to high wasting levels trying to achieve our 0.075 phosphorus limit. We were very close to not going over being the limit is 4.50 and our monthly was 4.84 no daily or weekly limits were exceeded in this month and changes made to our plant wasting allowed us to regain control on Ammonia levels.

Total Points Generated	10
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	90
Section Grade	В

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024

2023

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Total Number of	0			
Exceedances				0
Points per each	10			
Months of Dischar			12	
December	.225	0.113	1	0
November	.225	0.094	1	0
October	.225	0.108	1	0
September	.225	0.137	1	0
August	.225	0.134	1	0
July	.225	0.136	1	0
June	.225	0.172	1	0
	.225	0.120	1	0
April	.225	0.084	1	0
March	.225	0.071	1	0
February	.225	0.058	1	0
January	.225	0.062	1	0
	phosphorus Limit (mg/L)	Average phosphorus (mg/L)	Discharge with a Limit	Exceedance
Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

6/12/2024

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2/2024 **2023**

Biosolids Quality and Management

Biosolids Use/Disposal
1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply)
☐ Land applied under your permit
☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids
☐ Hauled to another permitted facility
☑ Landfilled
□ Incinerated
☑ Other
NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such
as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.
1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:
Reedbeds

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No.	Outfall No. 004 - PRIOR TO LANDSPREADING																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75										21				0	0
Cadmium		39	85										.61				0	0
Copper		1500	4300										900				0	0
Lead		300	840										19				0	0
Mercury		17	57										1				0	0
Molybdenum	60		75										9.4			0		0
Nickel	336		420										21			0		0
Selenium	80		100										21			0		0
Zinc		2800	7500										400				0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- o No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- o N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- o 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/12/2024 2023

3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? 0 N/A 6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? o >= 180 days (0 Points) o 150 - 179 days (10 Points) o 120 - 149 days (20 Points) 0 o 90 - 119 days (30 Points)

0 < 90 days (40 Points)</pre>

• N/A (0 Points)

6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why.

Our Facility does not have Biosolids storage. We have Reedbeds.

7. Issues

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/12/2024 2023

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)	
 Plant Staffing Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? Yes 	
• No	
If No, please explain:	_
Until August 2023 there was not another full-time operator on staff at he wastewater treatment plant. This made maintenance schedules, lift station maintenance, all around daily operations difficult due to inadequate staffing. By bringing a second full-time employee in made daily operations and maintenance scheduling much easier.	
Could use more help/staff for:	_
We now have adequate staffing for daily operations and maintenance scheduling.	
1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? • Yes	
O No	
If No, please explain:	٦
	<u> </u>
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ No (40 points)□□ If No, please explain, then go to question 3: 	
I No, please explain, then go to question 3.	7
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 	0
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?Yes	
 Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 	
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 	
 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. Excellent Very good Good Fair Poor 	

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/12/2024

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023

Describe your rating:

We now have proper staffing at the wastewater plant to achieve all of our scheduled maintenance. I feel that the plant is adequately staffed for operations, maintenance, and safety protocols.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

0

2023 6/12/2024

Operator Certification and Education

1. Operator-In-Charge 1.1 Did you have a designated operator-in-charge during the report year? Yes (0 points) o No (20 points)

DANIEL L BURNS

Certification No:

Name:

31770

2. Certification Requirements

2.1 In accordance with Chapter NR 114.56 and 114.57, Wisconsin Administrative Code, what level and subclass(es) were required for the operator-in-charge (OIC) to operate the wastewater treatment plant and what level and subclass(es) were held by the operator-in-charge?

Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC	
Class		Advanced	OIT	Basic	Advanced
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	Х			X
A2	Attached Growth Processes				
A3	Recirculating Media Filters				
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural				
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				
В	Solids Separation	Χ			X
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	Х			X
Р	Total Phosphorus	Χ			X
N	Total Nitrogen				
D	Disinfection	Χ			Х
L	Laboratory	X			X
U	Unique Treatment Systems				
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	Х	NA	NA

2.2 Was the operator-in-charge certified at the appropriate level and subclass(es) to operate this plant? (Note: Certification in subclass SS is required 5 years after permit reissuance.)

- Yes (0 points)
- o No (20 points)
- 2.3 For wastewater treatment facilities with a registered or certified laboratory, is at least one operator that works in the laboratory certified at the basic level in the laboratory (L) subclass?
- Yes
- o N/A Wastewater treatment facility does not have a registered or certified laboratory
- 2.4 For wastewater treatment facilities that own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system, has at least one operator been designated the OIC for sanitary sewage collection system and certified at the basic level in the sanitary sewage collection system (SS) subclass?
- Yes
- O No
- o N/A Owner of the Wastewater treatment facility does not own and operate a sanitary sewage collection system
- 3. Succession Planning
- 3.1 In the event of the loss of your designated operator-in-charge, did you have a contingency plan to ensure the continued proper operation and maintenance of the plant that includes one or more of the following options (check all that apply)?
- ☐ One or more additional certified operators on staff

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 2023 6/12/2024 \square An arrangement with another certified operator $\hfill\square$ An arrangement with another community with a certified operator An operator on staff who has an operator-in-training certificate for your plant and is expected to be certified within one year ☐ A consultant to serve as your certified operator 0 ☐ None of the above (20 points) If "None of the above" is selected, please explain: 4. Continuing Education Credits 4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing Education Credits at the following rates? OIT and Basic Certification: o Averaging 6 or more CECs per year. o Averaging less than 6 CECs per year. Advanced Certification: • Averaging 8 or more CECs per year. o Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024

2023

Financial Management

	T
1. Provider of Financial Information	
Name: Nicole Pilgrim	
Telephone: 715-644-5758 (XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):	
npilgrim@ci.stanley.wi.gov	
2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ? ● Yes (0 points) □□ o No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2023	0
 0-2 years ago (0 points) □□ 0 3 or more years ago (20 points)□□ 0 N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) 	
O No (40 points)	-
REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2023 1-2 years ago (0 points) 0 3 or more years ago (20 points) N/A If N/A, please explain:	
3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity	
3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 368,174.96	
3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, \$ 0.00 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)	
3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance \$ 368,174.96	
3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) + \$ 37,625.20	`

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 2023 6/12/2024 3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 149,907.06 3.2.6.1 below*) 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR 255,893.10 Reporting Year All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc. 3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above. transfer of excess funds 254,417.00 3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? 0 Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? Yes o No If No, please explain. 4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? ullet Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. $\Box\Box$ o No Approximate Estimated Project Description Project Construction Cost # Year 2025 \$500,000 Digester expansion \$500,000 2027 Tertiary Filter ungrade

┖	L Totally Titles application	
5.	Financial Management General Comments	
Ī		
L		
	The state of the s	

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

- 6. Collection System
- 6.1 Energy Usage
- 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 8

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024 **2023**

January February March April May June July August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate ee Comminution Extended She Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	ed Processes and Equi quipment and practice n or Screening aft Pumps	Natural Gas Consume (therms) 2 9 7 2 1 8 6 1 4 5 4 5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
March April May June July August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate ee Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	19,210 18,473 23,246 19,536 14,296 14,485 14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 s: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	9 7 2 1 8 6 1 4 4 5 4 5 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
March April May June July August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate ee Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	18,473 23,246 19,536 14,296 14,485 14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 s: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	7 2 1 8 6 1 4 4 5 4 5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
April May June July August September October November Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2.1 Indicate ex Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	23,246 19,536 14,296 14,485 14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 s: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	2 1 8 6 1 4 4 5 4 5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
May June July August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	19,536 14,296 14,485 14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 s: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	1 8 6 1 4 4 5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
June July August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	14,296 14,485 14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 s: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	8 6 1 4 4 5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
July August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2.1 Indicate ed Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	14,485 14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 action of Screening aft Pumps	6 1 4 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 6 4 6 6 6 6	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
August September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	14,068 13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 s: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	1 4 4 5 5 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 4 4 5 5 6 6 6 6	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
September October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	13,957 12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 S: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	4 4 5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
October November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2.1 Indicate ex Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	12,449 13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 3: ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	4 5 4 5 4 5 3 4 4 pment	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
November December Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2.1 Indicate ed Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	13,877 16,206 201,900 16,825 Ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	5 4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	16,206 201,900 16,825 Ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	4 53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
Total Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	201,900 16,825 ed Processes and Equipment and practice of or Screening aft Pumps	53 4	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
Average 6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	16,825 ed Processes and Equi quipment and practice n or Screening aft Pumps	pment	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	ed Processes and Equi quipment and practice n or Screening aft Pumps	pment	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
6.1.2 Comments 6.2 Energy Relate 6.2.1 Indicate e Comminution Extended Sh Flow Meterin Pneumatic P SCADA Syste Self-Priming Submersible Variable Spe	ed Processes and Equi quipment and practice n or Screening aft Pumps	pment es utilized at your pump/	ift stations (Check all that apply)):
	umping em Pumps Pumps			
☑ Other:				
Cellular Com	munication devices.			
6.2.2 Comment	s:			
6.3 Has an Energ ● No O Yes Year:	y Study been perform	ned for your pump/lift st	itions?	
By Whom: Describe and C				

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024 **2023**

6.4	Futu	re	Energy	Related	Equipment
-----	------	----	--------	---------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

ľ	Von	e a	t i	th	is	tim	ne .

- 7. Treatment Facility
- 7.1 Energy Usage
- 7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	33,705	18.33	1,839	24.83	1,357	416
February	30,801	16.98	1,814	17.19	1,792	367
March	30,395	22.42	1,356	24.96	1,218	310
April	31,934	28.12	1,136	19.38	1,648	178
May	33,045	22.05	1,499	23.37	1,414	92
June	32,109	17.70	1,814	19.80	1,622	30
July	32,328	17.83	1,813	23.56	1,372	29
August	34,599	17.69	1,956	19.72	1,755	32
September	29,318	16.82	1,743	23.79	1,232	31
October	29,170	19.02	1,534	28.77	1,014	27
November	34,126	16.40	2,081	22.74	1,501	74
December	35,824	16.03	2,235	23.62	1,517	252
Total	387,354	229.39		271.73		1,838
Average	32,280	19.12	1,735	22.64	1,454	153

			_				-
7 1	2	C_0	m	m	er	ıts	•

7.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

7.2.1 Indicate equipment and	d practices utilized at	your treatment facility	(Check all that apply)
------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------

- ☐ Anaerobic Digestion
- ☑ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- ☐ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Influent Pumping

- ☑ Variable Speed Drives
- ☑ Other:

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

stainey wastewater freatment facility	6/12/2024	2023
Reed Beds		
7.2.2 Comments:		
7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment		
7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have plann treatment facility?	ed for the future for y	your
None at this time		
8. Biogas Generation		
8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility?No		
o Yes		
If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off		
☐ Building Heat		
☐ Process Heat		
☐ Generate Electricity		
☐ Other:		
9. Energy Efficiency Study		
9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility?		
○ No ● Yes		
■ Yes ☑ Entire facility		
Year:		
2016		
By Whom:		
Cedar Corp		
Describe and Comment:		
Lighting, VFDs, ARC Hazard.		
☐ Part of the facility		
Year:		
By Whom:		
Describe and Comment:		1

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
,	6/12/2024	2023

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/12/2024

2023

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program 1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented? • Yes
o No
If No, explain:
ii No, explain.
SMOM which the same all the applicable components and items
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?
Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply) ☑ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)] Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Update Controls at lift stations for reliability and uniformity.
Did you accomplish them? ● Yes
o No
If No, explain:
M Our risetion [NR 210 22 (4) (b)][[[]
✓ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] $\Box\Box$ Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☑ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
□ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Sewer discharge permits
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2023-01-14
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:
☑ Private property inflow and infiltration
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection ☑ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
☑ Renabilitated sewer and int station installation, testing and inspection ☑ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
□ Fat, oil and grease control
☑ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following:
☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☐ Up-to-date sewer system map
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

2023 6/12/2024 ☑ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) □ Capacity assessment program ☑ Basement back assessment and correction ☑ Regular O&M training ☑ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]
☐ □ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? ☑ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements □ Construction, Inspection, and Testing ☐ Others: ☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□ Does your emergency response capability include: 0 ☒ Responsible personnel communication procedures ☐ Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols ☑ Training ☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]
☐ ☐ ☑ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☑ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) ☐ Others: Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year 80 Cleaning 80 % of system/year Root removal % of system/year 80 Flow monitoring % of system/year ol Smoke testing Sewer line % of system/year televising Manhole % of system/year 100 inspections # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation Private sewer % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I % of private services removal

Last Updated: Reporting For:

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/12/2024	Reporting For 2023
River or water crossings 0 % of pipe crossings evan Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection. We have a full sewer cleaning and televising of the system taking place Services LLC.	on system below:	
3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the all actual amount of precipitation last year in in a specific provided the following collection system and flow information for the all actual amount of precipitation last year in in a specific provided the following collection system and flow in for your location) 17.3 Miles of sanitary sewer 8 Number of lift stations 0 Number of lift stations 10 Number of sewer pipe failures 11 Number of complaints 12 Number of complaints 13 Number of complaints 14 Number of complaints 15 Number of complaints 16 Number of complaints 17 Number of complaints 18 Number of sewer pipe failures 18 Number of lift station failures 19 Number of sewer pipe failures 10 Number of complaints 10 Number of lift station failures 10 Number of lift stations 11 Number of lift stations 12 Number of lift stations 13 Number of lift stations 14 Number of lift stations 15 Number of lift stations 16 Number of lift stations 16 Number of lift stations 17 Number of lift stations 18 Number of lift stations 18 Number of lift stations 18 Number of lift stations 19 Number of lift stations 10 Number of lift) Avg)	
4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) Control Date None reported ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please control on this section until corrected.	Cause E	Estimated Volume
on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last years • Yes • No If Yes, please describe: During heavy rains we receive Approximately 50-100k of additional for the wears hoping with televising our entire sewer system we can pinpose. 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance.	flow at the treatmoint some of these	sources.

your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

Yes

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/12/2024

2023

o No

If Yes, please describe:

These flows can affect the bio-P process of our plant.

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

No Changes

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

We have a televising project taking place currently which includes jetting as well as manhole inspections from Flow-Rite Services.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 6/12/2024

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2023

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0021857

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent	A	4	3	12	
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40	
TSS	Α	4	5	20	
Ammonia	В	3	5	15	
Phosphorus	Α	4	3	12	
Biosolids	A	4	5	20	
Staffing/PM	Α	4	1	4	
OpCert	Α	4	1	4	
Financial	Α	4	1	4	
Collection	Α	4	3	12	
TOTALS		37	143		
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.86					

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Stanley Wastewater Trea	tment Facility	Last Updated: 6/12/2024	Reporting For 2023
Resolution or Owner	's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner:			
body of Owner.	City of Stanley		
Date of Resolution or			
Action Taken:	<u></u>		
	2024-06-17		
Resolution Number:	2024-015		
Date of Submittal:			
	THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATI		C CMAR
Influent Flow and Loading	grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F) See Grade = A	•	
Initident Flow and Loading	3. Glude – A		
Effluent Quality: BOD: Gr	ade = A		
Effluent Quality: TSS: Gra	ade = A		
TC() O lib A	or Crade - P	***************************************	
Effluent Quality: Ammonia	a: Grade = B		
Effluent Quality: Phospho	rus: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Man	pagement: Grade = A		
Diosonas Quancy and Flan	agement crade		
Staffing: Grade = A			
Operator Certification: Gr	-ade = A		
Financial Management: G	erado — A		
Fillancial Management. G	nade – A		
Collection Systems: Grad	le = A	ore reported)	
(Regardless of grade, res	ponse required for Collection Systems if SSOs w	ere reported)	
	Y THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELAT	ING TO THE OV	ERALL
GRADE POINT AVERAGE	E AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS	than 3 00)	
(Optional for G.P.A. greate $G.P.A. = 3.86$	er than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less	uiaii 3.00)	
G.F.A 3.00			