Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

Influent Flow and Loading

1. Monthly Average Flows and BOD Loadings

1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and BOD loadings to your facility.

Influent No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average BOD Concentration mg/L	х	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.4837	Х	169	х	8.34	=	683
February	0.5008	Х	155	х	8.34	=	648
March	0.5134	Х	182	Х	8.34	=	780
April	0.5246	Х	156	х	8.34	=	682
May	0.5088	Х	152	Х	8.34	=	643
June	0.4952	Х	164	Х	8.34	=	675
July	0.4861	Х	196	х	8.34	=	795
August	0.5537	Х	189	Х	8.34	=	875
September	0.5075	Х	127	х	8.34	=	536
October	0.5100	х	220	х	8.34	=	936
November	0.5490	Х	148	х	8.34	=	680
December	0.5245	х	160	х	8.34	=	701

- 2. Maximum Monthly Design Flow and Design BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	1.024	Х	90	=	0.9216
		X	100	=	1.024
Design BOD, lbs/day	1275	х	90	T =	1147.5
		х	100	=	1275

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times
	of		flow was greater	BOD was greater	BOD was greater
	Influent	_	than 100% of		than 100% of design
January	1	0	0	0	0
February	1	0	0	0	0
March	1	0	0	0	0
April	1	0	0	0 .	0
May	1	0	0	0	0
June	1	0	0	. 0	0
July	1	0	0	0	0
August	1	0	0	0	0
September	1	0	0	0	0
October	1	0	0	0	0
November	1	0	0	0	0
December	1	0	0	0	0
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2
Exceedances		0	0	0	0
Points		0	0	0	0
Total Numb	per of Po	oints			0

0

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY) 2021-06-14 o No If No, please explain: 4. Sewer Use Ordinance 4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? Yes O No If No, please explain: 4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? Yes o No If Yes, please explain: yes, we have had continuing problems with industries dumping extremely high levels of BOD, SS, and Phosphorus. 5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility? Holding Tanks **Grease Traps** Septic Tanks o Yes o Yes o Yes No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your facility? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. Septic Tanks gallons o Yes No Holding Tanks gallons o Yes No Grease Traps gallons o Yes 5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving any of these wastes. 6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? Yes o No If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.

Last Updated: Reporting For:

2021

6/14/2022

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022 **2021**

We continually struggle to meet our 0.075 phosphorus limit. The State run Correctional facility produces extremely high phosphorus sometimes in high volumes with as much as 26mg/l phos.

6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.?

- o Yes
- No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

0

6/14/2022

2021

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

1. Effluent (C)BOD Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance
January	20	18	2	1	0	0
February	20	18	3	1	0	0
March	20	18	3	1	0	0
April	20	18	1	1	0	0
May	10	10	1	1	0	0
June	10	10	1	1	0	0
July	10	10	3	1	0	0
August	10	10	0	1	0	0
September	10	10	2	1	0	0
October	10	10	3	1	0	0
November	20	18	2	1	0	0
December	20	18	1	1	0	0
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10		
Months of d	lischarge/yr			12		
Points per e	ach exceedan	7	3			
Exceedance		0	0			
Points					0	0
Total num	ber of points					0

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2	Flow	Meter	Calib	ration
_		MEIEL	Camp	i aukni

2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year?

Yes

Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)

2021-06-14

o No

If No, please explain:

3. Treatment Problems

3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

None

4. Other Monitoring and Limits

4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?

o Yes

No

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022 **2021**

	Т
If Yes, please explain:	٦١
	_
4.2 At any time in the past year was there a failure of an effluent acute or chronic whole effluent	
toxicity (WET) test?	
o Yes	
• No	
If Yes, please explain:	٦
4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce	-
source(s) of toxicity?	
o Yes	i
o No	
● N/A	
Please explain unless not applicable:	_
	⊿ I

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Total Suspended Solids)

1. Effluent Total Suspended Solids Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for TSS:

Outfall No.	Monthly	90% of	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit	90% Permit	
001	Average	Permit Limit	Average (mg/L)	Discharge	Exceedance	Limit	
	Limit (mg/L)	>10 (mg/L)		with a Limit		Exceedance	
January	20	18	1	1	0	0	
February	20	18	1	1	0	0	
March	20	18	2	1	0	0	
April	20	18	1	1	0	0	
May	10	10	1	1	0	0	
June	10	10	1	1	0	0	
July	10	10	1	1	0	0	
August	10	10	1	1	0	0	
September	10	10	1	1	0	0	
October	10	10	1	1	0	0	
November	20	18	2	1	0	0	
December	20	18	1	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	s <= 10	_		
Months of E	Discharge/yr	X		12			
Points per each exceedance with 12 months of discharge: 7							
Exceedance	0	0					
Points					0	0	
Total Num	ber of Points					0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

0

6/14/2022

2021

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Ammonia - NH3)

1. Effluent Ammonia Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly and weekly average effluent values, exceedances and points for ammonia

Outfall No.	Monthly	Weekly	Effluent	Monthly	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Effluent	Weekly
001	Average	Average	Monthly	Permit	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Weekly	Permit
	NH3	NH3	Average	Limit	Average	Average	Average	Average	Limit
	Limit	Limit	NH3	Exceed	for Week	_		for Week	
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	ance	1	2	3	4	ance
January	4.5		.217	0					
February	4.5		1.183	0					
March	4.5		.071	0					
April	4.5		0	0					
May	2.4		0	0					
June	2.4		0	0					
July	2.4		0	0					
August	2.4		.038	0					
September	2.4		0	0					
October	7.3		.008	0					
November	7.3		.162	0					
December	7.3		.021	0					
Points per e	ach excee	dance of l	Monthly av	/erage:					10
Exceedance	s, Monthly	/ :							0
Points:								0	
Points per each exceedance of weekly average (when there is no monthly average):								2.5	
Exceedance	s, Weekly								0
Points:									0
Total Num	ber of Po	ints							0

NOTE: Limit exceedances are considered for monthly OR weekly averages but not both. When a monthly average limit exists it will be used to determine exceedances and generate points. This will be true even if a weekly limit also exists. When a weekly average limit exists and a monthly limit does not exist, the weekly limit will be used to determine exceedances and generate points.

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (Phosphorus)

1. Effluent Phosphorus Results

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for Phosphorus

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average	Effluent Monthly	Months of	Permit Limit
	phosphorus Limit	Average phosphorus	Discharge with a	Exceedance
	(mg/L)	(mg/L)	Limit	
January	.225	0.108	1	0
February	.225	0.119	1	0
March	.225	0.099	1	0
April	.225	0.097	1	0
May	.225	0.083	1	0
June	,225	0.095	1	0
July	.225	0.092	1	0
August	.225	0.135	1	0
September	.225	0.186	1	0
October	.225	0.116	1	0
November	.225	0.128	1	0
December	.225	0.073	1	0
Months of Discharg	je/yr		12	
Points per each	10			
Exceedances	0			
Total Number of	0			

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to waters of the state, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge.

Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

N/A

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022 **2021**

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids Use/Disposal 1.1 How did you use or dispose of your biosolids? (Check all that apply) ☐ Land applied under your permit ☐ Publicly Distributed Exceptional Quality Biosolids ☐ Hauled to another permitted facility ☒ Landfilled ☐ Incinerated ☒ Other NOTE: If you did not remove biosolids from your system, please describe your system type such as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc.	
as lagoons, reed beds, recirculating sand filters, etc. 1.1.1 If you checked Other, please describe:	
Reed Beds	

3. Biosolids Metals

Number of biosolids outfalls in your WPDES permit:

3.1 For each outfall tested, verify the biosolids metal quality values for your facility during the last calendar year.

Outfall No.	Outfall No. 004 - PRIOR TO LANDSPREADING																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75							33.3							0	0
Cadmium		39	85							1.33							0	0
Copper		1500	4300							996							0	0
Lead		300	840							19.4							0	0
Mercury		17	57							.4							0	0
Molybdenum	60		75							<1.66						0		0
Nickel	336		420							27.1						0		0
Selenium	80		100							3.81						0		0
Zinc		2800	7500							141							0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- o 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
- o Yes
- o No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- o N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- o 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022 **2021**

3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified? N/A	o
6. Biosolids Storage 6.1 How many days of actual, current biosolids storage capacity did your wastewater treatment facility have either on-site or off-site? o >= 180 days (0 Points) o 150 - 179 days (10 Points) o 120 - 149 days (20 Points) o 90 - 119 days (30 Points) o < 90 days (40 Points) • N/A (0 Points) 6.2 If you checked N/A above, explain why. Reed Bed System	O
7. Issues 7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management: None at this time	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022 **2021**

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastowator treatment plant adequately staffed last year?	
1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year?◆ Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
Could use more help/staff for:	
We could use more help for Lift station maintenance, plant maintenance, daily operations. 1.5 staff is not enough for this plant. I need 2 staff members permanently. Myself and Dean	
 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes 	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) □□ No (40 points)□□ 	
If No, please explain, then go to question 3:	
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes 	0
O No (10 points)	
2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly?Yes	
o Paper file system	
o Computer system	
Both paper and computer system	
o No (10 points)	
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M and Manufacturer Equipment Manuals that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes 	
o No	\perp
4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. o Excellent	
• Very good	
o Good	
o Fair o Poor	
Describe your rating:	
Describe your runny.	

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

We always try and get our maintenance done on time and to the best of our abilities.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

0

perato	r Certification and Educat	tion				
1.1 Did y ● Yes (0 ○ No (2 Name:	0 points) ANIEL L BURNS	n-charge during the	report year?			0
2.1 In ac and subc treatments Sub Class A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 B C P N D L U SS 2.2 Was plant? (N • Yes (C)	ation Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.50 lass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcla SubClass Description Suspended Growth Processes Attached Growth Processes Recirculating Media Filters Ponds, Lagoons and Natural Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid Solids Separation Biological Solids/Sludges Total Phosphorus Total Nitrogen Disinfection Laboratory Unique Treatment Systems Sanitary Sewage Collection the operator-in-charge certified at lote: Certification in subclass SSD points) 20 points)	erator-in-charge (Oass(es) were held by WWTP Advanced X X X X X X X X X At the appropriate left	IC) to operato the operato OIT X evel and subo	ethe waster-in-charge? OIC Basic NA Class(es) to come	Advanced X X X X X X X NA Operate this	0
3.1 In the to ensure of the found of the found of the found of the certain the certain terms of the certain terms	sion Planning the event of the loss of your design the the continued proper operation the lowing options (check all that ap the or more additional certified operation the rangement with another certified the rangement with another communication on staff who has an operatified within one year the sultant to serve as your certified the of the above (20 points) the of the above is selected, pleas	and maintenance oply)? ators on staff d operator nity with a certified ator-in-training certi	f the plant th	nat includes	one or more	0
4. Contin	uing Education Credits					

4.1 If you had a designated operator-in-charge, was the operator-in-charge earning Continuing

Education Credits at the following rates?

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022

2021

OIT and Basic Certification:

o Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

o Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:

- Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.
- o Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

making up previous shortfall, etc.)

earned interest, etc.)

3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee,

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
•	6/14/2022	2021

		0/ 14/ 2022	2021
Financial Managem	ent		
Provider of Financial I Name:	nformation Nicole Thiel		
Telephone:	715-644-5758	(XXX) XXX-XXXX	
E-Mail Address (optional):			
treatment plant AND/OF Yes (0 points) □□ No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Year: 2021 O-2 years ago (0 poi O 3 or more years ago N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a spefinancial resources avai plant and/or collection so Yes (0 points)	r other revenues sufficient to cover Control collection system? Charge System or other revenue sounds)	urce(s) last reviewed and/or revis	sed? 0
O No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS	[PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHA	ALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]	
3. Equipment Replacem 3.1 When was the Equ Year: 2021 1-2 years ago (0 poi o 3 or more years ago o N/A If N/A, please explain 3.2 Equipment Replace	pment Replacement Fund last review nts)□□ (20 points)□□ :	ed and/or revised?	
3.2.1 Ending Balance	Reported on Last Year's CMAR necessary (e.g. earned interest,	\$ 294,958.0 \$ 0.0	╡
	awal of excess funds, increase	· L	-

294,958.00

36,476.77

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: Reporting For 6/14/2022 2021
3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc. 3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major results.	
3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally bas Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as ne instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionIns header in the left-side menu. 3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)? ● Yes ○ No If No, please explain.	eded. Further calculation structions link under Info
4. Future Planning 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system? • Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not alrest on No Project Project Belt Press	
5. Financial Management General Comments N/A	
ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE 6. Collection System 6.1 Energy Usage 6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sou COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations: 8	rces:

Electricity Consumed (kWh) Natural Gas Consumed (therms)

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Describe and Comment:

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022 **2021**

		300		
January	32,346	300		
February	33,978	426		
March	28,989	62		
April	28,628	24		
May	30,603	6		
June	33,390	0		
July	33,390	0		
August	32,919	0		
September	32,309	0		
October	33,306	5		
November	34,815	106		
December	39,476	186		
Total	394,149	1,115		
Average	32,846	139	ĺ	
6.2 Energy Rel 6.2.1 Indicate Comminut Extended	ated Processes and Equ equipment and practice ion or Screening Shaft Pumps	ipment es utilized at your pump/lif	stations (Check all tha	at apply):
6.2.1 Indicate ☐ Comminut ☐ Extended ☐ Flow Mete ☐ Pneumatic ☐ SCADA Sy ☐ Self-Primit ☑ Submersit ☑ Variable S	ated Processes and Equestication or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping stem The Pumps The Pumps The Pumps The Pumps The Pumps	ipment es utilized at your pump/lif	stations (Check all tha	at apply):
5.2 Energy Rel 6.2.1 Indicate Comminut Extended Flow Mete Pneumatic SCADA Sy Self-Primit Submersit Variable S Other: 6.2.2 Comme	ated Processes and Equipment and practice equipment and practice ion or Screening Shaft Pumps ring and Recording Pumping stem ng Pumps ple Pumps peed Drives	ipment es utilized at your pump/lif med for your pump/lift stat		at apply):

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022 **2021**

0.4 FULLIE FLIELDY NEIGLEU LUUIDILIEN	6.	4	Future	Energy	Related	Equipment
---------------------------------------	----	---	--------	--------	---------	-----------

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

updated electronics. misc. equipment

7. Treatment Facility

7.1 Energy Usage

7.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

TREATMENT PLANT: Total Power Consumed/Month

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Total Influent Flow (MG)	Electricity Consumed/ Flow (kWh/MG)	Total Influent BOD (1000 lbs)	Electricity Consumed/ Total Influent BOD (kWh/1000lbs)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	32,346	14.99	2,158	21.17	1,528	300
February	33,978	14.02	2,424	18.14	1,873	426
March	28,989	15.92	1,821	24.18	1,199	62
April	28,628	15.74	1,819	20.46	1,399	24
May	30,603	15.77	1,941	19.93	1,536	6
June	33,390	14.86	2,247	20.25	1,649	0
July	33,390	15.07	2,216	24.65	1,355	0
August	32,919	17.16	1,918	27.13	1,213	0
September	32,309	15.23	2,121	16.08	2,009	0
October	33,306	15.81	2,107	29.02	1,148	5
November	34,815	16.47	2,114	20.40	1,707	106
December	39,476	16.26	2,428	21.73	1,817	186
Total	394,149	187.30		263.14		1,115
Average	32,846	15.61	2,110	21.93	1,536	139

7	1	2	C	0	r	n	r	۲	1	e	ľ	٦	t	S	:	

7.2 Fn	erav Re	lated	Processes	and	Equipment
--------	---------	-------	-----------	-----	-----------

- 7.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your treatment facility (Check all that apply):
- ☐ Anaerobic Digestion
- □ Biological Phosphorus Removal
- ☐ Coarse Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Dissolved O2 Monitoring and Aeration Control
- ☐ Effluent Pumping
- ☑ Fine Bubble Diffusers
- ☑ Influent Pumping
- ☑ Nitrification
- ☑ UV Disinfection
- ✓ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 6/14/2022 2021 7.2.2 Comments: 7.3 Future Energy Related Equipment 7.3.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your treatment facility? 8. Biogas Generation 8.1 Do you generate/produce biogas at your facility? No o Yes If Yes, how is the biogas used (Check all that apply): ☐ Flared Off ☐ Building Heat ☐ Process Heat ☐ Generate Electricity ☐ Other: 9. Energy Efficiency Study 9.1 Has an Energy Study been performed for your treatment facility? No o Yes ☐ Entire facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment: ☐ Part of the facility Year: By Whom: Describe and Comment:

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
Danie, masterna management	6/14/2022	2021

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 6/14/2022

2021

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program
1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?◆ Yes
o No
If No, explain:
T TTO CAPIGNITI
1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items
according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)? • Yes
o No (30 points)
o N/A
If No or N/A, explain:
1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the
components and items that apply) Solution of the components and items that apply) Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]
Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:
Get all sewers jetted, clean and inspect all lift stations, Inspect manholes.
Did you accomplish them?
● Yes
o No
If No, explain:
☑ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)]□□
Does this chapter of your CMOM include:
☑ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)
☑ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities
☑ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public
☐ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)]
What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system?
Sewer discharge permits
If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2019-11-18
Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following:
☑ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection
☐ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection
Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as
necessary
☐ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance
☑ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)]
Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following: ☐ Equipment and replacement part inventories
☑ Up-to-date sewer system map
☑A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

☑ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) ☐ Capacity assessment program ☐ Basement back assessment and correction □ Regular O&M training ☐ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)]☐☐ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? ☑ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements ☐ Construction, Inspection, and Testing □ Others: ☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)]□□ Does your emergency response capability include: 0 □ Responsible personnel communication procedures ☐ Response order, timing and clean-up ☑ Public notification protocols ☑ Training ☑ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)]
☐ □ ☑ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) □ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. % of system/year Cleaning % of system/year 80 Root removal % of system/year 0 Flow monitoring % of system/year Smoke testing Sewer line % of system/year televising Manhole % of system/year 100 inspections # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole 0 % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation Private sewer d % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I % of private services removal

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

If Yes, please describe:

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility** 2021 6/14/2022 River or water % of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained crossings Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: 3. Performance Indicators 3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 37 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches 35 Annual average precipitation (for your location) 17.3 Miles of sanitary sewer 8 Number of lift stations 0 Number of lift station failures O Number of sewer pipe failures 0 Number of basement backup occurrences 0 Number of complaints 0.500 Average daily flow in MGD (if available) Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available) Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available) 3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year) 0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr) 0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile) 0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile) 0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg) 0.0 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg) 4. Overflows LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED ** Estimated Location Cause Date Volume None reported ** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected. 5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I) 5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year? o Yes No If Yes, please describe: 5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year? o Yes No

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

6/14/2022

2021

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0021857

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS		
Influent	Α	4	3	12		
BOD/CBOD	Α	4	10	40		
TSS	Α	4	5	20		
Ammonia	А	4	5	20		
Phosphorus	А	4	3	12		
Biosolids	A	4	5	20		
Staffing/PM	А	4	1	4		
OpCert	A	4	1	4		
Financial	А	4	1	4		
Collection	Α	4	3	12		
TOTALS			37	148		
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00						

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Stanley Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 6/14/2022	Reporting For 2021		
Resolution or Owner's Statement	t			
Name of Governing Body or Owner:				
City of Star Date of Resolution or Action Taken: 2022-06-0				
Resolution Number: 2022-06				
Date of Submittal:				
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNI SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A			C CMAR	
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A				
Effluent Quality: TSS: Grade = A				
Effluent Quality: Ammonia: Grade = A				
Effluent Quality: Phosphorus: Grade = A	A			
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade	e = A			
Staffing: Grade = A				
Operator Certification: Grade = A				
Financial Management: Grade = A				
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required to	for Collection Systems if SSOs	were reported)		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERN GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GEN (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal	NERAL COMMENTS		ERALL	